

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 CHARLES L. BOBO,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC
SECURITY,

12 Defendants.
13

Case No. C13-0715RSL


ORDER OF DISMISSAL

14 On April 29, 2013, the Court ordered plaintiff, proceeding *pro se* and *in forma*
15 *pauperis*, to show cause why his complaint should not be dismissed on jurisdictional and/or
16 Eleventh Amendment grounds. Dkt. # 5. Plaintiff was advised that failure to file a timely and
17 adequate amended complaint may result in dismissal of this case and that an amended complaint
18 operates as a complete substitute for the original pleading and must include all relevant
19 information. In response, plaintiff filed a collection of documents related to his attempts to
20 obtain a doctor's statement of disability and his application for benefits from the Arizona
21 Department of Economic Security.

22 Having reviewed plaintiff's response and the remainder of the file, the Court finds
23 that it lacks subject matter jurisdiction over plaintiff's claims and that plaintiff has failed to
24 allege a plausible claim for relief against a state agency. This matter is therefore dismissed
25 without prejudice.
26

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

1 Dated this 22nd day of May, 2013.

2 

3 Robert S. Lasnik

4 United States District Judge